4	Thum definiter. Vareta@lacity.org	ial Lit. Branch, SBN 82404 Gang Division, SBN 143900 1 4 2017 y Attorney, SBN 191935 Deputy City Attorney, SBN 1,84135/e Officer/Cle 16189 NO FEE - GOV'T CODE §6103
7	I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I	
8	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA	
9	COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES, CENTRAL DISTRICT	
10	ê.	BC686996
11	THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA,) CASE NO.:
12 13	Plaintiff,	COMPLAINT FOR ABATEMENT AND INJUNCTION
14	VS.) [HEALTH & SAFETY CODE SECTION
15	PAULINA SIGALA, an individual; GUADALUPE MARIE JUAREZ also known as GUADALUPE	11570, ET SEQ.]
16	MARIE SIGALA, an individual; and DOES 1 through 50, inclusive,	(Unlimited Action)
17	Defendants.	
18		
19		
20	PLAINTIFF, THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA, alleges as follows:	
21	I. <u>INTRODUCTION</u>	
22	This action ("Action") is brought and prosecuted by Plaintiff, the People of the	
23	State of California (the "People"), for the purpose of abating, preventing and enjoining a gang	
24	and narcotics-related public nuisance that exists at a single family dwelling located in the El	
25	Sereno neighborhood of Northeast Los Angolos with an addition	
26	Sereno neighborhood of Northeast Los Angeles with an address commonly known as 3710 Locke Avenue, Los Angeles CA 90032 (the "Proporty"). The Asting it is	
27	Locke Avenue, Los Angeles CA 90032 (the "Property"). The Action is brought pursuant to the	
28	Narcotics Abatement Law ("NAL"), California Health and Safety Code section 11570, et seq. The Property lies within 617 feet of the El Sereno Elementary School and within 944 feet of	
	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	mentary School and Within 944 feet of
	COMPLAINT FOR ABATEMENT AND INJUNCTION	

- 2. The Property, located in the Los Angeles Police Department's ("LAPD") Hollenbeck Division, has been, and continues to be, a narcotics nuisance where the sale of heroin and methamphetamine and other controlled substances takes place on a regular and continuous basis to steady streams of drug users and buyers who walk, bike and drive up to the Property to purchase drugs. The Property has, and continues to have, a well-known reputation in the surrounding community and with LAPD as a prominent gang-controlled narcotics sales location. During the past 16 months, law enforcement has made seven narcotics-related arrests, including for narcotics sales, at or connected to the Property and served two narcotics search warrants at the Property which resulted in the recovery of narcotics.
- 3. Defendant Paulina Sigala ("Defendant Sigala"), a 71-year-old woman, has owned the Property since February 16, 2017. Defendant Sigala's daughter, Guadalupe Marie Juarez also known as Guadalupe Marie Sigala ("Defendant Juarez"), age 50, has lived at the Property since at least 2016. Defendant Juarez has been arrested twice at the Property for narcotics-related offenses.
- 4. During an August 30, 2016 search warrant execution, officers recovered methamphetamine, marijuana, and 88 balloons containing heroin from the bedroom of Defendant Juarez. Additionally, numerous counter-surveillance cameras were mounted around the exterior of the Property that provided live feed into a monitor inside Defendant Juarez's bedroom. Defendant Juarez was arrested for possession for sales of heroin, methamphetamine, and marijuana.
- 5. The most recent narcotics search warrant was served at the Property last month. During that November 16, 2017 search warrant execution, officers recovered methamphetamine, digital scales, and two EBT cards, which LAPD believes were exchanged for narcotics in lieu of cash.¹ Again, numerous counter-surveillance cameras were observed

¹ EBT cards can be used like cash for certain items at stores and, according to LAPD, drug users will trade this form of public assistance for narcotics when they do not have cash on hand.

 around the exterior of the property with a monitor discovered inside the residence. Defendant Juarez was arrested for possession of methamphetamine for sales and maintaining a house hold where narcotics are sold. Defendant Juarez was sharing a bedroom with documented El Sereno gang member Frank Marquez, with the gang moniker of "Lil Marky", who was also arrested for the same offenses as Juarez. Another documented El Sereno gang member, Hector Torres, with the gang moniker of "Joker", was arrested for possession of methamphetamine for sales.

6. Plaintiff is filing this lawsuit in an effort to protect public safety. The people in the surrounding neighborhood cannot be expected to perpetually endure this continual nuisance activity. The nuisance abatement prosecution is intended to bring the unacceptable state of affairs at the Property to a halt; to make the Property inhospitable to the gang members and drug dealers who now freely use it to deal narcotics; and to make the Property safe for people in the area.

II. THE PARTIES AND THE PROPERTY

A. Plaintiff

7. Plaintiff, the People, is the sovereign power of the State of California designated in California Health and Safety Code section 11571 to be the complaining party in actions brought to abate, enjoin, and penalize public narcotics nuisances.

B. <u>The Defendants</u>

- 8. Defendant Sigala is the owner of the Property and has been since February 16, 2017. Plaintiff is informed and believes and thereon alleges that Defendant Sigala does not currently reside at the Property. From January 13, 2016 to February 16, 2017, the Property was owned by Lester Alvarez. Plaintiff is informed and believes and thereon alleges that the sale of the Property to Defendant Sigala may not have been an arm's length transaction as Lester Alvarez's wife is the cousin of Defendant Juarez.
- 9. Defendant Juarez is the adult daughter of Defendant Sigala and has been residing at the Property since at least 2016. Defendant Juarez is directly involved in the narcotics activity at the Property and is allowing gang members to live and "hangout" at the

Property and sell narcotics there. On August 11, 2017, Defendant Juarez was criminally convicted of possession of a controlled substance for sales related to the August 30, 2016 search warrant and subsequent arrest.

10. The true names and capacities of defendants sued herein as Does 1 through 50, inclusive, are unknown to Plaintiff, who therefore sues said defendants by such fictitious names. When the true names and capacities of said defendants have been ascertained, Plaintiff will seek leave of Court to amend this complaint and to insert in lieu of such fictitious names the true names and capacities of said fictitiously named defendants.

C. The Property

11. The Property is a single-family residence located at the commonly known address of 3710 Locke Avenue, Los Angeles, CA 90032.² It is a four bedroom, approximately 1,164 square foot, one-story home located in a quiet residential neighborhood. The rear detached garage may have been illegally converted into a residence.³ The backyard of the Property has several recreational vehicles and cars in which individuals are residing. Clothing, pet feces, and trash litter the rear yard.

III. THE NARCOTICS ABATEMENT LAW

- 12. The abatement of a nuisance is a long-established and well-recognized exercise of the state's police power. (*People v. Barbiere* (1917) 33 Cal.App. 770, 775; *People ex rel. Hicks v. Sarong Gals* (1974) 42 Cal.App.3d 556, 563.) Since its enactment in 1972, the principal purpose of the NAL (Health & Saf. Code, § 11570, *et seq.*) is the abatement of buildings and places "used for the purpose of unlawfully selling, serving, storing, keeping, manufacturing, or giving away any controlled substance, precursor, or analog specified in this division" (Health & Saf. Code, § 11570).
- 13. The NAL provides that every building or place used for the purpose of unlawfully selling, serving, storing, keeping, manufacturing, or giving away any controlled substance,

² The Property's legal description is "Lot 33 of Baird's Pasadena Short Line Tract as per map recorded in Book 4, Page 4 of Maps, in the office of the county recorder of said county," with Assessor's Parcel Number 5306-012-023.

³ Los Angeles Department of Building and Safety currently has an open investigation of the Property regarding the illegal garage conversion.

precursor, or analog *inter alia*, "is a nuisance which **shall** be enjoined, abated, and prevented . . . whether it is a public or private nuisance." (Health & Saf. Code, § 11570 (emphasis added); *People ex rel Lungren v. Peron* (1997) 59 Cal.App.4th 1383, 1389; *Lew v. Superior Court* (1993) 20 Cal.App.4th 866, 870-871.)

- 14. Health and Safety Code section 11571 authorizes a city attorney to bring an action to abate, prevent and perpetually enjoin such nuisances. It provides in relevant part: "Whenever there is reason to believe that a nuisance as described in Section 11570 is kept, maintained, or exists in any county, the district attorney of the county, or the city attorney of any incorporated city or of any city and county, in the name of the people, may . . . maintain an action to abate and prevent the nuisance and perpetually to enjoin the person conducting or maintaining it, and the owner, lessee, or agent of the building or place in or upon which the nuisance exists from directly or indirectly maintaining or permitting the nuisance."
- 15. Health and Safety Code section 11573(a) provides that: "If the existence of the nuisance is shown in the action to the satisfaction of the court or judge, either by verified complaint or affidavit, the court or judge **shall** allow a temporary restraining order or injunction to abate and prevent the continuance or recurrence of the nuisance." (Emphasis added.) In addition, Health and Safety Code section 11581 provides, as an additional remedy, for the removal and sale of all fixtures and movable property on the premises used in aiding or abetting the nuisance and for the closure of the building for up to one year.

IV. CAUSE OF ACTION FOR NARCOTICS ABATEMENT [Health and Safety Code Section 11570, et seq. -Against Defendants and DOES 1 through 50]

- 16. Plaintiff hereby incorporates by reference paragraphs 1 through 15 of this Complaint and makes them part of the First Cause of Action, as if fully set forth herein.
- 17. The general reputation of the Property in the community and amongst law enforcement is that it is a location where the sale of heroin and methamphetamine and other controlled substances takes place on an open and regular basis by residents, gang members and/or others. Since at least 2016, the Property has been, and is *currently* being used for the

purposes of unlawfully selling, serving, storing, keeping, manufacturing or giving away controlled substances in violation of Health and Safety Code section 11570, et seq. The Property is being used to store and sell narcotics at and from within the Property to drug users and buyers who are drawn there to purchase and use narcotics.

18. Defendants, and Does 1 through 50, are responsible for conducting, maintaining, and/or directly or indirectly permitting the nuisance as alleged herein. Plaintiff has no plain, speedy and adequate remedy at law, and unless Defendants, and Does 1 through 50, are restrained and enjoined by order of this Court, they will continue to use, occupy and maintain, and/or to aid, abet or permit, directly or indirectly, the use, occupation and maintenance of the Property, together with the fixtures and appurtenances located therein, for the nuisance complained of herein, to the great and irreparable damage of the public and in violation of California law.

PRAYER

WHEREFORE, PLAINTIFF PRAYS THAT THIS COURT ORDER, ADJUDGE AND DECREE AS FOLLOWS:

- 1. That Defendants, Does 1 through 50, and the Property, be declared in violation of Health and Safety Code section 11570, et seq.
- 2. That the Property, together with the fixtures and moveable property therein and thereon, be found to constitute a public nuisance and be permanently abated as such in accordance with Section 11581 of the California Health and Safety Code.
- 3. That the Court grant a preliminary injunction, permanent injunction and order of abatement in accordance with Section 11570, et. seq., of the California Health and Safety Code, enjoining and restraining each Defendant and their agents, officers, employees and anyone acting on their behalf, from unlawfully selling, serving, storing, keeping, manufacturing, or giving away controlled substances on the Property, and/or directly or indirectly maintaining or permitting such nuisance activity.
- 4. That the Court order physical and managerial improvements to the Property in accordance with California Health and Safety Code section 11573.5, and such orders as are

otherwise appropriate, to remedy the nuisance on the Property and enhance the abatement process, including but not limited to, a prohibition on Defendant Juarez's presence at or within 1000 feet of the Property at any time, for any reason; prohibiting known narcotics users and dealers and gang members from accessing the Property; and strict limitations on who else may be present on the Property at all times.

- 5. That as part of the Judgment, an Order of Abatement be issued, and that the Property be closed for a period of one year, not to be used for any purpose, and be under the control and custody of this Court for said period of time; or, in the alternative, if the Court deems such closure to be unduly harmful to the community, that Defendants, and Does 1 through 50, pay an amount of damages equal to the fair market rental value of the Property for one year to the City or County in whose jurisdiction the nuisance is located in accordance with Health and Safety Code section 11581 subdivision (c)(1).
- 6. That Defendants, and Does 1 through 50, each be assessed a civil penalty in an amount not to exceed twenty-five thousand dollars (\$25,000.00).
- 7. That all fixtures and moveable property used in conducting, maintaining, aiding or abetting the nuisance at the Property be removed by the LAPD and sold in the manner provided for the sale of chattels under execution. Said fixtures and property shall be inventoried and a list prepared and filed with this Court.
- 8. That there shall be excepted from said sale, such property to which title is established in some third party not a defendant, nor agent, officer, employee or servant of any defendant in this proceeding.
- 9. That the proceeds from said sale be deposited with this Court for payment of the fees and costs of sale. Such costs may occur in closing said property and keeping it closed, removal of said property, and Plaintiff's costs in the Action, including attorneys' fees, and such other costs as the Court shall deem proper.
- 10. That if the proceeds of the sale do not fully discharge all such costs, fees and allowances, the Property shall also be sold under execution issued upon the order of the Court or judge and the proceeds of such sale shall be applied in a like manner. That any

excess monies remaining after payment of approved costs shall be delivered to the owner of said property. Ownership shall be established to the satisfaction of this Court.

- 11. That Defendants, Does 1 through 50, and any agents, trustees, officers, employees and anyone acting on their behalf, and their heirs and assignees, be perpetually enjoined from transferring, conveying, or encumbering any portion of the Property, for consideration or otherwise, without first obtaining the Court's prior approval.
- 12. That Defendants, and Does 1 through 50, be ordered to immediately notify any transferees, purchasers, commercial lessees, or other successors in interest to the subject Property of the existence and application of any temporary restraining order, preliminary injunction, or permanent injunction to all prospective transferees, purchasers, commercial lessees, or other successors in interest, *before* entering into any agreement to sell, lease or transfer the Property, for consideration or otherwise, all or any portion of the Property that is the subject of this Action.
- 13. That Defendants, and Does 1 through 50, be ordered to immediately give a complete, legible copy of any temporary restraining order and preliminary and permanent injunctions to all prospective transferees, purchasers, lessees, or other successors in interest to the Property.
- 14. That Defendants, and Does 1 through 50, be ordered to immediately request and procure signatures from all prospective transferees, purchasers, lessees, or other successors in interest to the subject Property, which acknowledges his/her respective receipt of a complete, legible copy of any temporary restraining order, preliminary and permanent injunction, at least 30 days prior to the close of escrow, and deliver a copy of such acknowledgment to the Los Angeles City Attorney's Office, c/o Deputy City Attorney Jennifer Varela or her designee.
- 15. That Plaintiff recover the costs of this Action, including law enforcement investigative costs and any fees, including attorneys' fees, authorized by law, not to exceed \$1,000,000.00, from Defendants and Does 1 through 50.

- 16. That Plaintiff recover the amount of the filing fees and the amount of the fee for the service of process or notices which would have been paid but for Government Code section 6103.5, designating it as such. The fees may, at the Court's discretion, include the amount of the fees for certifying and preparing transcripts.
- 17. That Plaintiff be granted such other and further relief as the Court deems just and proper.

DATED: December 14, 2017

Respectfully submitted,

MICHAEL N. FEUER, City Attorney JONATHAN CRISTALL, Supervising Assist. City Attorney

Bv

PNNIFER MARELA, Deputy City Attorney

Attorneys for Plaintiff, THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE

OF CALIFORNIA